

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/720,070	09/27/1996	RICHARD G HYATT JR.	P53821C	1185
8490 7,5900 01/18/2013 ROBERT E. RUSHNELL & LAW FIRM 2029 K STREET NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1004			EXAMINER	
			BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2013	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rebushnell@aol.com mail@rebushnell.com info@rebushnell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 08/720,070	Applicant(s) HYATT, RICHARD G
Examiner	Art Unit
SUZANNE BARRETT	3673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide 	ence, which places the application in condition for allowance;			
	31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with e not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of			
The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.			
	ry Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.			
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curn the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANTS FIRST AFTER-FINA	mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed ent period for reply expires months from the mailing date of			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of				
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the				
set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if check				
mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ea				
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 December 2012</u>. A brief in c date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
	the date of the control of the contr			
 The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to They raise new issues that would require further considerat 				
They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ion and/or search (see NOTE below),			
c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
appeal; and/or				
d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding to the contract of the	onding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a 	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
allowable claim(s).	f submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will in new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or ap AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or	on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notic because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejec and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pres	tions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s 	tatus of the claims after entry is below or attached.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 In erequest for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. ☐ Other: TATUS OF CLAIMS				
4. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: 1-42,46-56,64-72,74-84,90-93,95-100,105-116,119 Claim(s) objected to:	and 121-127.			
Claim(s) objected to. Claim(s) rejected: 120.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: .				
	(O			
	/Suzanne Dino Barrett/			
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673			
C Detect and Tenders of Coffee				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claim 120 under 35 U.S.C. 135(b) are not persuasive. It is respectfully maintained (as discussed in the remarks of the final rejection paragraphs 1 and 3) that the claim in question was not timely copied and is not a substantially similar claim that of Field.